

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
1.	Attendees and apologies			
	Present:	Chair: Apologies:		
2.	Notes of last meeting			
3.	Financial Position			
		2021-22 Allocation £11,085 Note; following the boundary changes this is a reduction of £672 on previous years Tidworth Area Board have provided a grant to the CATG of £8,625		

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		2020-21 Underspend of £9068.63. Available to allocated once existing commitments are accounted for is £17,903.63		
4.	Top 5 Priority Schemes			
a)	Issue 6070 Everleigh, Marlborough Road Speeding Concerns	<p>MC confirmed that Everleigh PC will contribute up to £1250 for the cost of implementing the 40-mph speed limit in Marlborough Road. The Group agreed to fund the remaining cost of up to £3750.</p> <p>CATG to fund 75% of the £5000 implementation costs and Everleigh £1250.</p> <p>Works have been completed – Close Issue and remove.</p>		
b)	<u>Priority No.01</u> <u>Ref 15-19-5</u> Collingbourne Kingston	<p><i>Recent work on the roundabout has failed. The bollards installed on the west side of the roundabout have all been destroyed. The roundabout is failing to slow traffic that is moving north. There is also a chronic problem with traffic moving north failing to acknowledge the right of way of vehicles that are approaching the roundabout from the direction of Brunton and wanting to turn to the north.</i></p> <p><i>The west side of the roundabout needs a raised platform to define the desired roadway. The raised area should have bollards erected so that the extent of the roundabout is clear to traffic. The plastic bollards that were installed earlier this year have not worked at all. Of the four that were installed, all of them have been destroyed. By building a platform that is similar to those that support the larger signs at the north and</i></p>		

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p><i>south entries to the roundabout, traffic going north will have to take more care going through the roundabout.</i></p> <p><i>The centre of the roundabout should be raised. As it stands, traffic drives over the roundabout centre as if it was not there.</i></p> <p>GR confirmed that alternative flexible barriers have been ordered for the interim. Following a discussion about raised kerbs being a possible solution, the Group confirmed that it would fund the design of such a scheme if Collingbourne Kingston Parish Council made a 25% contribution of £500.</p> <p>It is likely the cost of implementing this scheme may require a bid to the central pot. However, there is not likely to be substantive bids for 2020/2021 due to delays in the 2019/2020 schemes as a result of COVID-19.</p> <p>Initial designs and cost estimate provided to CKPC in March 21. Indicative Cost Estimate £20,000 to £25,000</p> <p>To be discussed</p>		
c)	<p><u>Priority No 02</u></p> <p><u>Ref 15-20-1</u></p> <p>Enford</p> <p>Request for 20mph Limit Assessment</p>	<p>Enford Parish Council would like to bid for funding support to conduct traffic calming measures within the village. The Parish committee in January 2020 passed that it would fund 25% of costs towards the study up to a contribution of £650.00.</p> <p>Current Speeds are 30mph and we would like this reduced to 20mph throughout the village boundary excluding the A345. There are parts of the village roads that are particular issues of mainly speeding commuter</p>	GR to confirm if assessment has been undertaken.	GR

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>cars. The risk is compounded with no pavements, regular deep surface water and a school bus stop.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that part of the village (Long St and west of the Swan pub) has generally slower speeds of traffic due to the closeness of houses and a narrow road. However, a blanket speed restriction is requested by the parish in order to protect and keep safe pedestrians.</p> <p>Assessment has been completed and proposal prepared. Area Board Grant has been used to progress implementation. As decision was made before boundary changes scheme will stay with the Tidworth CATG until project is completed.</p> <p>Enford PC have confirmed 25% Contribution.</p> <p>Design work is ongoing ahead of implementation in 2021.</p>		
d)	<p><u>Priority No 03</u></p> <p><u>Ref 15-20-3</u></p> <p>Collingbourne Kingston</p> <p>Request for Speed Limit Assessment</p>	<p>The current 30mph zone and signage is failing to slow traffic down as it passes Aughton Junction. It is located so close to the Junction that traffic is only starting to slow down as it passes the Junction. This is creating a very dangerous situation for vehicles that are exiting the Junction on to the A338</p> <p>We would like the 30mph zone and signing to be moved 2-300 yards north of its current positioning. This would allow traffic to slow down in consideration of the 30mph speed limit by the time they get to Aughton Junction. This would dramatically improve the position for vehicles exiting Aughton Junction.</p>		

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>We would like to have a speed review conducted to verify our concerns and then move on to having the zone and signage moved north as indicated above.</p> <p>RS confirmed that CKPC would fund 25% of the assessment costs. After a discussion the group agreed to recommend to Tidworth Area Board for a speed limit assessment to be undertaken on the A338 in CK to assess if the existing 30 mph limit can be extended to the end of the present 40 mph limit.</p> <p>The assessment has been added to the 2021/22 Programme for action. At present social distancing regulations are preventing Atkins from completing. It is anticipated that this will alter post 21/06 in line with the government roadmap.</p>		
e)	<p><u>Priority No.04</u></p> <p><u>Ref 15-20-04</u></p> <p>Tidworth, Pennings Road</p> <p>Request for Pedestrian Crossing Assessment</p>	<p>Following on from requests and concerns raised by residents our Services Committee discussed the above location and agreed that for safety reasons a crossing needed at this location.</p> <p>Now that the development is pretty much complete there is an increase in use by pedestrians.</p> <p>Tidworth Town Council fully supports the request for a pedestrian crossing and will fund 25% of the assessment.</p> <p>After a discussion the group agreed to recommend to TAB an assessment for a pedestrian crossing on the A338, Pennings Road (near its junction with Connolly Way), Tidworth to be undertaken.</p>		

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		Assessment has been added to 2021-22 Programme.		
6.	Other Priority schemes			
a)				
7.	Open / Other Issues			
a)	<p><u>Ref 15-20-2</u></p> <p>Collingbourne Kingston</p> <p>Request for Speed Indicator Device Infrastructure</p>	<p>The speed of traffic through our village along the A338 is excessive. Based on the work of our CSW, the percentage of vehicles that are caught speeding during the course of their sessions is 8% against a county average that is less than 3%.</p> <p>We would like to employ 2 Speed Indicator Devices at points along the A338 in our village. We wish to apply to the CATG for funding of the brackets and poles we need to install at various locations on which we can place the SIDs. We need between 4-6 locations so that we can move the SID's around so that we can make the best use of them.</p> <p>GR advised that it would cost up to £4K to implement the scheme but a site meeting between CKPC and AC would be required to assess where installations can be provided. The Group agreed that MC should approach CKPC to confirm it would contribute 25% of the costs and to advise of locations to AC. The Group agreed to implement the scheme if CKPC agreed to contribute 25% of the cost.</p>		


COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>Awaiting list of sites / locations for assessment.</p> <p>RS to provide AC with potential sites.</p>		
8.	New Issues			
a)	<p><u>Ref 15-21-01</u></p> <p>Tidworth, Humber Lane</p> <p>Request for Speed Limit Assessment</p>	<p><i>Humber Lane is a mix of class C and bridleway and speed is unregulated. There is no pedestrian pavement yet the road (and roads around Tedworth House) are used by dog walkers, runners, cyclists, marching troops, and horse riders.</i></p> <p><i>Traffic is generated by local activity (stables riding school, polo pitches, Tidworth Town Football Club, Veolia Sewage works, Aspire Defence Ltd works yard, building site (Home Farm) and 1st Tidworth Scout Group) and cut through by golfers heading to Tidworth Golf Club and military personnel moving from the new housing estate to/from work in Bulford and Tidworth Garrisons.</i></p> <p><i>Due to the speed not being regulated drivers frequently drive at high unsuitable speeds, therefore we are requesting a speed limit review.</i></p>		
b)	<p><u>Ref 15-21-02</u></p> <p>A338 Tidworth</p> <p>Parking Issues Outside Post Office</p>	<p><i>The A338 outside of the Post Office is a very busy, often congested part of Pennings Road. There is a pedestrian pavement outside of the Post Office, Tattoo Parlour and Flower Shop. Outside the Flower Shop and Tattoo Parlour there is a short parking area/layby for clients. Outside the Post Office there is no parking but to the side there is an alley way to a residential building behind the Tattoo Parlour.</i></p>		

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p><i>The pedestrian pavement outside of the Post Office is often used for parking and causes many ructions, and more so now with social distancing and queues forming outside of it. There have been severely nasty incidents where individuals have been rude to the Postmistress.</i></p> <p><i>There is also a pelican crossing to the right of the Post Office (as you look at it), so this area is a very busyt are, which does not need further complications of car parking on the pavement. There is a clear need to have 2 or 3 bollards or other similar 'No Parking' measures in front of the Post Office parking as agreed at the Tidworth Town Council Meeting dated the 13th April.</i></p>		
c)	<p><u>Ref 15-21-03</u></p> <p>Ludgershall, Pretoria Road</p> <p>Parking Issues in Turning Head</p>	<p><i>People are regularly parking in the turning bay at the end of the road, also when people use the swing park they use the turning bay to park. This is resulting in problems to other drivers who then cannot turn their vehicles around. I have on a number of occasions watched drivers have to reverse the entire length of Pretoria Road, or reverse on private drives. On two occasions now I have seen an ambulance and an oil tanker unable to turn and have to reverse all the way down the road which is certainly not ideal.</i></p> <p><i>A clear sign advising drivers that they should not park in the turning bay.</i></p>		
d)	<p><u>Ref 15-21-04</u></p> <p>Ludgershall, Fleming Close</p> <p>Parking Issues</p>	<p><i>Parked cars block or reduce access to Aster Housing garages along right side of Fleming Close. Aster have notices fixed to the garage walls stating no parking and do not block access but this only applies to their land and not the highway which come under Wiltshire Council.</i></p>		

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p><i>This is an issue which seems to appear at the evening / weekend times. This would suggest it predominantly between those living in the local vicinity.</i></p> <p><i>H-bar markings painted on the road for the areas where vehicle should keep clear and positively mark the areas where parking is considered appropriate. These wouldn't be time limited and hence not enforceable, but it maybe enough to encourage people to be more considerate.</i></p>		
9.	Other items			
a)				
10.	AOB			
a)	Tidworth Area Cycle Network	 <p>Tidworth and Ludgershall Cycle Net</p> <p>MA to update the map to take into account improvements since 2013. Group to consider future improvements required.</p> <p>GR advised that Government is likely to provide additional funding for cycling and walking schemes in future. I B-P suggested that the Group should have schemes agreed and supported in preparation for this.</p>		

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>MC suggested that TTC and LTC should review their networks and make recommendations to CATG of possible improvements to the foot and cycle networks. CW suggested TAB also discuss the issue.</p> <p>CW/NA request LTC review its network and suggest any improvements to its network.</p> <p>MC/HJ request TTC review its network and suggest any improvements to its network.</p>		
b)	Army Basing Road Infrastructure Update	HJ stated that the roadworks were now complete in Tidwroth and the new layouts are working very well.		
c)	Toucan Crossing, Tidworth Post Office	<p>HJ raised the flooding and mud issue at this crossing. He said there was a lip that prevented the water escaping and if the lip was removed, it would help with the situation.</p> <p>AC Continues to chase</p>		
11.	Date of Next Meeting: 13 September 2021			

Tidworth Community Area Transport Group

Highways Officer – Gareth Rogers